	Case 2:97-cr-00054-WBS-KJN Document 489 Filed 03/17/21 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	00000
11	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:97-cr-54 WBS
13	Plaintiff,
14	v. <u>ORDER</u>
15	TANH HUU LAM,
16	Defendant.
17	
18	00000
19	Defendant Tanh Huu Lam has filed a motion for
20	appointment of counsel (Docket No. 488) to assist in filing a
21	request for compassionate release. There is no Sixth Amendment
22	or statutory right to counsel for a motion for compassionate
23	release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). <u>United States v. Bond</u> , Case
24	No. LA CR94-563 JAK, 2020 WL 4340257, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 25,
25	2020) (citing <u>United States v. Townsend</u> , 98 F.3d 510, 512-13 (9th
26	Cir. 1996); <u>United States v. Whitebird</u> , 55 F.3d 1007, 1010-11
27	(5th Cir. 1995)).
28	Defendant does not specify the basis for the
	1

## Case 2:97-cr-00054-WBS-KJN Document 489 Filed 03/17/21 Page 2 of 2

compassionate release motion he intends on filing. To the extent that he attacks the validity of his conviction, such claims are not a proper basis for compassionate release under § 3582(c). Moreover, defendant's specific arguments regarding the validity of his conviction have already been rejected by the Ninth Circuit in one or more of his multiple appeals. To the extent defendant claims that the COVID-19 pandemic warrants compassionate release, such claims are common, and it is not clear what assistance defendant would require at this point to file a similar motion. 1

Because the defendant has not shown good cause to appoint counsel to assist in the filing of a compassionate release motion, the motion for appointment of counsel (Docket No. 488) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2021

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

It appears that defendant contends that appointment of counsel is warranted at least in part given the limited access he has to his prison law library. However, defendant cites no authority, and the court is unaware of any, establishing that limited library access weighs in favor of appointment of counsel. Indeed, if limited library access warranted appointment of counsel, seemingly every defendant would be entitled to appointment of counsel in virtually every motion and petition filed during the pandemic.